

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,160	03/13/2002	Alexander Kozak	800.1015	2914
23280	7590 06/30/2003			
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			EXAMINER	
	485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018		FORD, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	0 (///	EN 1624
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory n ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· □ This action is FINAL.		•
Since this application is in condition for allowance exceed accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ept for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)	1-30	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	ected to by the Examine	er .
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	•	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	•	•
·	• , . =	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 		(a)− (d).
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) 		(a)–(d).
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)–(d).
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 n received.	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 n received. n received in Application	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. In received in Application ents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17	No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. In received in Application ents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17	No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received: 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. In received in Application ents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17	No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received: 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. In received in Application ents have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. In received in Application ents have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: ■ Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. In received in Application ents have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	No2(a)) Int rview Summary, PTO-413

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Pap r No. _____

Art Unit: 1624

The claims in the application are claims 1—16 and 18—30.

This is a 371 of PCT/IL00/00562, filed Sept 13, 2000, should appear as the first line of the specification after the title,

The claims in the application are claims 1—13 and 16—23.

Claim 1 includes multiple different compounds that are patentably distinct in the A variations.

This is a 371 application ack of unity of invention in 371 applications is controlled in the United States by 37 CFR 1.475.

37 CFR 1.475 provides for examination of the first named compound invention, one process of making those compounds, and one process of using those compounds.

However, rather than have the Examiner decide what is the invention in claim 1 applicants would have examined here, applicants are given the opportunity to elect which anti-prolifrative drug they would have examined in this application; mTX or fluoridene, or whatever else applicants can august?

Therefore, restriction is required to one of the following inventions consistent with PCT Rule 13.2.

- (I) Claims 1—11 drawn to various prodrugs classified variously dependent on what the anti-proliferative drug is. If this group is elected, a further election of a specific drug; In TX, Huram will or what ever else applicants can find support is required.
- Claims 12, and 14-16 are drawn to pharmaceutical composition that will be examined with which ever, part , etc, is elected in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,160

Art Unit: 1624

Page 3

- (III) Claim 13 drawn to the compounds of claim 1, plus an additional active ingredient. The agreement to examiner one method of use with the elected compound invention is based on the being of the same scope.

 Obviously, a claim with an additional active ingredient is of a different scope.
- (IV) Claims 18-29 drawn to multiple methods of using the above compounds.
 One method of using the compound will be examined with which ever compound is elected. A specific disease is required to be elected, see
 Rule 475. One method of use.
- (V) Claim 30 drawn to the process of actually making the composition; old and known since the time of Alchemists working in caves.

These compounds have acquired separate status in the art, and will support separate patents. Restriction, as noted, is considered proper. Applicants would not accept a reference for one ring system (mtx, fluorodeoxyuridine, etc) being a reference for any of the other(s).

Applicants response must provide an election, to be considered responsive; 37 CFR 1.499.

Ford/tgd June 26, 2003

∕JOHN M. FORD

GROUP - ART UNIT /6 24